

Staggered fermions and their $O(a)$ improvements

Yubing Luo^a

^aColumbia University, Department of Physics, New York, NY 10027

Expanding upon the arguments of Sharpe, we explicitly implement the Symanzik improvement program demonstrating the absence of order a terms in the staggered fermion action. We propose a general program to improve fermion operators to remove $O(a)$ corrections from their matrix elements, and demonstrate this program for the examples of matrix elements of fermion bilinears and B_K . We also determine the additional operators which must be added to improve the standard staggered fermion currents.

1. INTRODUCTION

In order to reduce the systematic errors coming from finite lattice spacing, we must improve both the action and the lattice operators. According to the improvement program of Symanzik, we find all dimension-5 operators which are invariant under the lattice symmetry group and add them to the original action to reduce all $O(a)$ corrections. If there exists no such dimension-5 operator, then the action is already good to $O(a^2)$. On the other hand, even though the action is accurate to $O(a^2)$, the matrix elements generally may still have $O(a)$ errors, and hence, we have to improve the operators themselves.

In this paper, I will expand upon the arguments given by Sharpe[1] to prove that there are no $O(a)$ terms which can be added to the staggered fermion action, and hence the action is already accurate to $O(a^2)$. Then I will propose a set of improved fermion field variables and use them to construct the fermion operators to reduce the $O(a)$ corrections to their matrix elements. We apply this program to the case of $\langle 0 | \bar{s} \gamma_{54} d | K^0 \rangle$ and B_K as examples. We find the former does have $O(a)$ corrections while the latter does not. We will also determine the additional operators that must be added to improve the standard staggered fermion currents to define operators whose matrix elements are accurate to $O(a^2)$.

2. STAGGERED FERMION ACTION IS ACCURATE TO $O(a^2)$

What we will prove here is that there exists no dimension-5 operator which is invariant under the lattice symmetry group (rotations, axis reversal, translation, $U(1) \otimes U(1)$, charge conjugation, etc), and therefore, the staggered fermion action is already good to $O(a^2)$.

We rewrite the staggered fermion action as

$$S_f = \bar{\chi} \left[\sum_{\mu} (\gamma_{\mu} \otimes I) D_{\mu} + m \right] \chi, \quad (1)$$

and consider all dimension-5 operators which have the general form $\bar{\chi} \gamma_S \otimes \xi_F f(D) \chi$ where f is a homogenous real polynomial of degree 2.

Invariance under $U_A(1)$ requires that $S + F$ is odd, so only the following combinations of $S \otimes F$ are valid:

$$(I, \gamma_5, \gamma_{\mu\nu}, \gamma_{5\mu\nu}) \otimes (\xi_{\lambda}, \xi_{5\lambda}), \quad (2)$$

$$(\gamma_{\mu}, \gamma_{5\mu}) \otimes (I, \xi_5, \xi_{\lambda\tau}, \xi_{5\lambda\tau}). \quad (3)$$

The axis reversal invariance will further limit the number of terms to be four:

$$\gamma_5 \otimes \xi_{\mu} D_{\mu}^2, \quad (4)$$

$$\gamma_5 \otimes \xi_{5\mu} D_{\mu}^2, \quad (5)$$

$$\gamma_5 [\gamma_{\mu}, \gamma_{\nu}] \otimes \xi_5 (\xi_{\mu} + \xi_{\nu}) [D_{\mu}, D_{\nu}], \quad (6)$$

$$\gamma_5 [\gamma_{\mu}, \gamma_{\nu}] \otimes \xi_5 (\xi_{\mu} - \xi_{\nu}) \{D_{\mu}, D_{\nu}\}. \quad (7)$$

The rotational invariance will further eliminate the term in Eq.(4) but allows the remaining three terms Eq.(5 - 7).

Finally, none of the terms listed in Eq.(5 - 7) are invariant under lattice translation! So, we conclude that there is no dimension-5 fermion operator which is invariant under the lattice symmetry group, and therefore no dimension-5 operator can be added to the staggered fermion action.

3. IMPROVE STAGGERED FERMION FIELDS

Following ref.[2], we define the hypercubic fields as

$$q(y) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_A \gamma_A \mathcal{U}_A(y) \chi_A(y), \quad (8)$$

$$\bar{q}(y) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_A \bar{\chi}_A(y) \mathcal{U}_A^\dagger(y) \gamma_A^\dagger. \quad (9)$$

Then the classical continuum limit of the staggered fermion action can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned} S_F[q, \bar{q}] \rightarrow \int_y \sum_{AB} \bar{q}(y) \{ & \sum_\mu (\gamma_\mu \otimes I)_{AB} D_\mu \\ & + m \delta_{AB} - a [\sum_\mu (\gamma_5 \otimes \xi_{5\mu})_{AB} D_\mu^2 \\ & + \frac{ig_0}{4} \sum_{\mu\nu} ((\gamma_\mu - \gamma_\nu) \otimes I + \frac{1}{2} \gamma_5 [\gamma_\mu, \gamma_\nu] \\ & \otimes \xi_5 (\xi_\mu + \xi_\nu))_{AB} F_{\mu\nu}(y)] \} q(y) \\ & + O(a^2). \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where $D_\mu = \partial_\mu + igA_\mu$ is the continuum covariant derivative. At first sight, the action contains order a terms. Likewise, it is clear that the fermion propagator for the hypercubic fields q and $\bar{q}$ deviates from the continuum propagator by terms of order a . However, if we introduce the following improved field variables

$$\chi_A^I(y) = (1 - a \sum_\nu A_\nu D_\nu^L) \chi_A(y), \quad (11)$$

$$\bar{\chi}_A^I(y) = \bar{\chi}_A(y) (1 - a \sum_\nu A_\nu \overleftarrow{D}_\nu^L), \quad (12)$$

and replace $\chi, \bar{\chi}$ in Eq.(8, 9) by χ^I and $\bar{\chi}^I$, we will reduce the finite lattice spacing corrections from $O(a)$ to $O(a^2)$.

Using the new fermion fields, we can construct improved fermion operators. For example, the improved fermion bilinears have the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\chi}_A^I(y) \overline{(\gamma_S \otimes \xi_F)}_{AB} \chi_B^I(y) = & \bar{\chi}_A(y) \overline{(\gamma_S \otimes \xi_F)}_{AB} \chi_B(y) \\ & - \frac{a}{2} \sum_\nu \partial_\nu^L [\bar{\chi}_A(y) \overline{(\gamma_S \otimes \xi_F)}_{AB} \\ & - \overline{(\gamma_{5\nu S} \otimes \xi_{5\nu F})}_{AB} \chi_B(y)] \\ & - \frac{a}{2} \sum_\nu \bar{\chi}_A(y) \overline{(\gamma_{5\nu S} \otimes \xi_{5\nu F})}_{AB} \\ & - \overline{(\gamma_{S5\nu} \otimes \xi_{F5\nu})}_{AB} D_\nu^L \chi_B(y) \\ & + O(a^2). \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

4. APPLICATIONS

Here, we apply this improvement program to the calculation of matrix element $\langle 0 | \bar{s} \gamma_{54} d | K^0 \rangle$, the calculation of B_K , and the improvement of lattice currents.

4.1. $\langle 0 | \bar{s} \gamma_{54} d | K^0 \rangle$

The axial current used in the (Landau gauge) numerical simulation is:

$$A_\mu(y) = \sum_{AB} \bar{\chi}_A \overline{(\gamma_{5\mu} \otimes \xi_5)}_{AB} \chi_B(y). \quad (14)$$

From the continuum expression

$$\begin{aligned} P(t)^{cont} &= \langle 0 | A_4(t)^{cont} | K^0 \rangle \\ &= \sqrt{2} f_K m_K e^{-m_K |t|}, \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

we define, on the lattice,

$$P(t) = \langle 0 | \sum_{\vec{x}} A_4(\vec{x}, t) | K^0 \rangle, \quad (16)$$

and put the wall source that creates the K^0 on the time slice at $t = 0$. If we don't consider $O(g_0^2 a)$ terms, we can take only the term $-\frac{a}{2} \sum_\nu \partial_\nu^L [\bar{\chi}_A \overline{(\gamma_{54} \otimes \xi_5)}_{AB} \chi_B]$ in Eq.(13) because other terms contribute zero "flavor" trace at the tree level. So, we have

$$P(t)^{Imp} = P(t) - \frac{a}{2} \partial_4^L P(t), \quad (17)$$

and this simple example show that, if we do not consider $O(g_0^2 a)$ corrections, the improved operator gives the well-known $t + \frac{1}{2}$ interpretation:

$$P(t) = \sqrt{2} f_K m_k e^{-m_k |t+1/2|}. \quad (18)$$

4.2. B_K

The formula for calculating B_K is:

$$B_K = \frac{\mathcal{M}_K}{\frac{8}{3}\mathcal{M}_K^V}. \quad (19)$$

The improved numerator is (omitting the $O(g_0^2 a)$ terms):

$$\mathcal{M}_K^{Imp} = \mathcal{M}_K - \frac{a}{2} \partial_4^L \mathcal{M}_K + O(a^2). \quad (20)$$

Since $\mathcal{M}_K(t)$ is computed from a plateau (i.e. time independent) within the statistical error, there is no $O(a)$ corrections to the numerator. If we calculate the quantity $\langle 0 | \bar{s} \gamma_4 \gamma_5 d | K^0 \rangle$ in both forward and backward directions and multiply them to get the denominator, then there will have no $O(a)$ correction even if no attention is paid to an accurate definition of f_K . Hence, we showed that there is neither $O(a)$ nor $O(ag_0^{2n} \log^n a)$ corrections to B_K . Sharpe [1] has examined this question in greater detail and argued that in fact there are no corrections of $O(g_0^2 a)$ also. However, if we calculated the denominator only in one time direction, there would be an error of order of $O(m_k a)$.

4.3. Renormalization of lattice currents

The lattice currents can be written as[3]:

$$J_{latt}^F = \bar{\chi} \gamma_J \otimes \xi_F \chi, \quad (21)$$

Using the method developed in this paper, we can explicitly determine the improved currents accurate to $O(a^2)$. For example, the conserved vector current and axial vector current corresponding to the $U_V(1) \otimes U_A(1)$ lattice symmetry can be written as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} V_\mu^I(y) = & V_\mu(y) - \frac{a}{2} \sum_\nu \partial_\nu [\bar{\chi}_A (\overline{\gamma_\mu \otimes I})_{AB} \chi_B] \\ & - \frac{a}{2} \partial_\mu [\bar{\chi}_A (\overline{\gamma_\mu \otimes I})_{AB} \chi_B] \\ & - \frac{a}{4} \sum_\nu \partial_\nu [\bar{\chi}_A (\overline{\gamma_{5[\mu, \nu]} \otimes \xi_{5\nu}})_{AB} \chi_B] \\ & + O(a^2), \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

$$\begin{aligned} A_\mu^{\xi_5, I}(y) = & A_\mu^{\xi_5}(y) \\ & - \frac{a}{2} \sum_\nu \partial_\nu [\bar{\chi}_A (\overline{\gamma_{\mu 5} \otimes \xi_5})_{AB} \chi_B] \\ & - \frac{a}{2} \partial_\mu [\bar{\chi}_A (\overline{\gamma_{\mu 5} \otimes \xi_5})_{AB} \chi_B] \\ & + \frac{a}{4} \sum_\nu \partial_\nu [\bar{\chi}_A (\overline{\gamma_{[\mu, \nu]} \otimes \xi_\nu})_{AB} \chi_B] \\ & + O(a^2). \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

The effect of the second term on the right hand side is to shift the position y , labeling the current, from the corner to the center of the hypercube. The third term whose effect is to shift in the μ 's direction occurs here because the currents are non-local operators which involve an overlap between two nearest hypercubes. The forth term is a mixing of a different spin-flavor operator and is necessary to remove all order a effects from a general matrix element.

5. CONCLUSIONS

The staggered fermion action is accurate to $O(a^2)$; In order to reduce $O(a)$ corrections in a matrix element, we must use the improved fermion fields which we have proposed; Our improved program derived the well-known $t + \frac{1}{2}$ interpretation for the one hypercubic fermion bilinears at the tree-level; We demonstrated B_K is good to $O(g_0^2 a)$ at the tree-level; We proposed terms which must to included in the lattice currents in order to reduce $O(a)$ corrections.

I warmly thank Prof. Norman H. Christ for the extensive discussions during every stage of this work. I am also grateful to Weonjong Lee for the numerical data. I also thank Bob Mawhinney for the helpful discussions on the lattice symmetry of staggered fermions.

REFERENCES

1. S. Sharpe, *Nucl. Phys. B(Proc. Suppl.)* 34(1994)403, hep-lat 9312009, UW/PT 94-15.
2. H. Kluberg-Stern, A. Morel, O. Napoly and B. Petersson, *Nucl. Phys. B* 220(1983)447.
3. J. Smit and J.C. Vink, *Nucl. Phys. B* 298(1988)557.